

Planning Team Report

ì

Croydon Park Ex-Servicemen's Club

Croydon Park Ex-Servicemen's Club				
Proposal Title :	Croydon Park Ex-Servicemen's	Club		
Proposal Summary	To rezone the site at 49-55 Seymour Street Croydon Park from B2 Local Centre to R2 Low Density Residential and reduce the maximum height from 15m to 8.2m and the maximum FSR from 1.75:1 to 0.55:1.			
PP Number :	PP_2012_BURWO_001_00	Dop File No :	12/09707	
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	31-May-2012	LGA covered :	Burwood	
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA :	Burwood Council	
State Electorate :	CANTERBURY	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details			54 SA	
Street : 49	-55 Seymour Street			
Suburb : C	roydon Park City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2133	
Land Parcel :				
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Tharani Yoganathan			
Contact Number :	0292286502			
Contact Email :	tharani.yoganathan@planning.ns	w.gov.au		
RPA Contact Deta	iils			
Contact Name :	Diwei Luo			
Contact Number :	0299119928			
Contact Email :	diwei.luo@burwood.nsw.gov.au			
DoP Project Mana	iger Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Juliet Grant			
Contact Number :	0292286113			
Contact Email :	juliet.grant@planning.nsw.gov.au			
Land Release Dat	a			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A	
Regional / Sub	Metro Inner North subregion	Consistent with Strategy	Yes	
Regional Strategy				

MDP Number :	0	Date of Release :			
Area of Release (Ha)	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :			
No. of Lots :	4	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0		
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0		
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		~		
If No, comment :					
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No				
If Yes, comment :					
Supporting notes					
Internal Supporting Notes :	The proposal provides an opportunity to respond to public submissions made in relation to this site as part of the exhibition of the Standard Instrument LEP for Burwood. The planning proposal has been submitted in preference to pursuing post-exhibition changes to the Standard Instrument LEP.				
External Supporting Notes :					
Adequacy Assessmen	t				
Statement of the obj					
Is a statement of the ob	Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes				
Comment :	The objectives of the planning proposal are to:				
	- retain a zone equivalent to the Residential 2(a) zone under the Burwood Planning Scheme Ordinance.				
	-amend the zoning of the site find the draft Burwood LEP 2012.	rom B2 Local Centre to R2	Low Density Residential under		
	-amend the development stand maximum FSR of 0.55:1.	ards for the site to a maxin	num height of 8.2m and a		
Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)					
Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes					
Comment :	The proposal rezones the site to standard instrument zone R2 which is reflective of the current controls under the BPSO.				
	This planning proposal will am	end the maps below:			
	- Land Zoning Map; - Height of Building Map				
	- Height of Building Map - FSR Map		2		

ì

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones

- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other There are no other matters which will need to be considered for the planning proposal. matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No

If No, explain :

Direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zone

The proposal is considered to be inconsistent with this Direction as it reduces the total potential area for employment uses and related public services allowed in B2 in the draft LEP. This includes uses such as business, office and retail premises, information and education facilities, medical centre, and tourist and visitor accommodation. However the R2 zone allows neighbourhood shops with a size limit of 80m2 each. If the 5 lots are individually developed for a shop each that would total 400m2. The site is 2045sqm, leaving a loss of capacity for potential business development of 1,700m2 (from 60% of 1.75:1)

Council justifies this inconsistency by stating that Croydon Park is not a strategic centre. Council considers the reduction in potential employment floor space to be of minor significance and therefore justifiably inconsistent with the Direction.

While Croydon Park is not a major centre it is a village centre which presents an opportunity to grow adding jobs and dwellings. The loss of opportunity for business is considered to be significant, this is further explained in relation to direction 7.1 below.

Direction 3.1 Residential Zones

This planning proposal is considered to be inconsistent with this direction. The planning proposal does not make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services because it is reducing development potential by reducing the height and density in a location with good access to schools, shops and public transport. Under the planning proposal there is a minimum loss of 5 dwellings or in capacity terms 0.55:1 vs 60% of 1.75:1 = 1000m2 of housing. This reduction in density and height is considered by Council to be of minor significance and therefore justifiably inconsistent with this Direction.

Direction 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 The proposal is inconsistent with the Metropolitan Plan as it undermines the achievement of land use strategies identified in the Plan. The opportunity to locate growth in a local centre and provide medium density housing (shop top housing under B2) is a land use strategy identified in the Metropolitan Plan.

Under the BLEP, the B2 zoning (15m height and FSR 1.75:1, 60% dwellings) provides a potential of 16 dwellings (average 100m2/dwelling). Under this planning proposal the R2 zoning (8m height and FSR 0.55:1)provides a potential of 11 dwellings. Council's dwelling target of 70% of 2031 target of 7700 dwellings can be met elsewhere (5200 dwellings), principally in the town centre.

Providing the opportunity to focus activity in a variety of accessible centres is also a land use strategy identified in the Metropolitan Plan.

roydon Park Ex-Serv	
	However, the employment target for the Burwood local government area is 4000 jobs, Council anticipate that this target can be achieved in the Burwood Town Centre(4250 jobs). Under the BLEP, B2 zoning, the site at Seymour Street, Croydon Park has maximum potential for approximately 70 jobs (total floor space 3500 sqm/50sqm per job). The loss of a potential 70 jobs is considered to be signifcant.
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)
Is mapping provided?	/es
Comment :	
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)
Has community consult	ation been proposed? No
Comment :	Council are relying on the Gateway Determination to specify the appropriate consultation period.
Additional Director	General's requirements
Are there any additiona	I Director General's requirements? No
If Yes, reasons :	·
Overall adequacy of	f the proposal
Does the proposal mee	t the adequacy criteria? Yes
If No, comment :	
roposal Assessment	
Principal LEP:	
Due Date : November 2	2012
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Council submitted a section 68 for the draft Burwood Comprehensive LEP 2012 (BLEP) on 1 June 2012. The LEP is anticipated to be made at the end of 2012.
	This planning proposal will amend the BLEP soon after it is made.
Assessment Criteria	3
Need for planning proposal :	This planning proposal has been submitted in response to submissions made on the exhibition of the draft BLEP. There was opposition to the increase in density and height for development on this site as it bounds low density residences to the rear which drew objections to possible overshadowing and loss of privacy and loss of character of the neighbourhood.16 submissions and a petition of 124 signatures were submitted in response to the exhibition.
	Council advise the club can rely on existing use rights if the site is zoned R2. Uses such as boarding houses, child care centres, dual occupancies, dwelling houses, health consulting rooms, neighbourhood shops, respite day care centres, semi-detached dwellings and seniors housing would also be permissible in the R2 zone.
	Council state that this change is necessary to allow development in character with the neighbouring development.

Consistency with	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			/ or the draft Inner West
strategic planning ramework :	Strategy. Please	see discussion und	er page 3 Direction 7.1	
	There are no SE	PPS applicable to th	is planning proposal.	
	policy directions Croydon Park To	for the local govern	nment area and did not was identified in the B	sion Document' which contains identify this site as part of the DLEP for an addition to the
Environmental social economic impacts :	communities, or		ing this site and there	opulations or ecological are no other likely
			portunity for growth ar ntly its economic viabi	nd expansion which may affect lity.
ssessment Proces	S			
Proposal type :	Routine		mmunity Consultation	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month	Del	egation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)				
s Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	No	2	ā.
f no, provide reasons :	the FSR and heig		al centre with potentia	ks to significantly decrease Il for growth. It significantly
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	b) : Yes			
f Yes, reasons :		o proceed with this of jobs and dwellings		uncil need to address the
		demonstrate that thi nsurate with a B2 zo		r an increase in jobs and
	Density with an i	ncreased FSR from		ng this site to R3 Medium 1 height from 8m. This could o the B2 Local Centre.
dentify any additional st	tudies, if required. :			
f Other, provide reasons	s :			
dentify any internal con	sultations. If require	a :		
dentify any internal con No internal consultatio		:a :		
	n required		s nian? No	

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
1300 COM_FSR_002_010_20120606.pdf	Мар	Yes
1300_COM_HOB_002_010_20120606.pdf	Мар	Yes
1300_COM_LZN_002_010_20120606.pdf	Мар	Yes
1300_COM_SIM_002_010_20120606.pdf	Мар	Yes
Aerial Ex Serv 310512J476.jpg	Photograph	Yes
Planning Proposal Ex Servicemen's Club site - final report.doc	Proposal	Yes
Planning Letter to DP&I RE - Croydon Park Ex-Servicemen's Club - signed copy.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Not Recommended

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	Proceeding with this planning proposal will appease the community's concerns with regards to density and height. Supporting this planning proposal to rezone to R2 and decrease FSR and height controls would be in line with the NSW Government's direction to work with local government.
	Should the LEP Panel choose to proceed with this proposal, it is recommended that they consider increasing the FSR from 0.55:1 and height from 8.2m to allow greater density on this site and utilise the suitable location within a small village centre.
Supporting Reasons :	Council has stated that this planning proposal has been submitted in response to community opposition to density and height. Council consider the reduction in height and density to be of minor significance. The Department considers this rezoning and decrease in density to be substantial.
	This planning proposal is inconsistent with section 117 direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones because it substantially reduces employment floor space.
1	The planning proposal is inconsistent with direction 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 because it reduces the jobs contributing to the employment target. The assessment of this planning proposal has discovered there would be a worst case scenario loss of 70 jobs (calculated on 1 job per 50sqm).
Signature:	Kant

Juliet Grant Date: 9/6/12